

Dear ,

I am writing to submit several questions I have regarding the Vaccination Requirements (PH 39-2021) recently released by the Oregon Health Authority.

Regarding the following statement found on the OHA 3874 School Vaccine FAQ I would like some questions answered.

When is the vaccine rule in effect?

A: Employees and volunteers must submit proof of full vaccination against COVID-19 or have an approved religious or medical exception by on or before October 18, 2021. Previously Governor Brown has stated, "October 18, 2021 or six weeks after regular Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval, whichever takes longer." The Pfizer vaccine was approved by the FDA on August 23, 2021, solidifying October 18, 2021 as the date by which K12 staff must submit proof of vaccination. This coincides with the vaccine expectation timelines for Oregon executive branch employees.

Regarding the highlighted statement, I have completed my own review of the "approval" letters and have found it to be inaccurate. An accurate statement would be that the Pfizer vaccine was granted an extension of it's EUA status. I have provided links to two articles as well as the FDA documents that explain this. In addition, when last checked on August 27, you can call Pfizer at 1-800-879-3477, Option 1, Option 4 and hear an official recording that states that the Pfizer vaccine is not approved by the FDA and in use only under EUA. You may also speak to a live person that will confirm the same. The second letter approved a license for the development of a drug going by the name Comirnaty. In the documents it states that the Comirnaty and the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID 19 vaccines are "legally distinct" and that there are "certain differences." If the OHA is mandating and asking you to enforce an "approved" vaccine, then the only "approved" vaccine is Comirnaty. I believe it is vital that this be clarified with the staff at Silvies. Indeed, under an EUA, vaccines, as well as masks and testing, are not allowed to be mandatory. See my supporting documents.

Because I am concerned about what goes into my body and do not take this mandate lightly, I would like to know how the Comirnaty and Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccines are "legally distinct" and what are the "certain differences"? This will help me make an informed decision. Also, to my knowledge the Comirnaty vaccine is not available. Please tell me when and where I will have access to this vaccine should I choose to get it. Will it's availability fall within the timeline designated by the rule?

I want to believe the best of my elected officials and those appointed to high offices, but it is clearly not wise to do so. It saddens me to think how many people, including some that I work with and some that I care deeply for, will feel they have no choice but to subject themselves to an experimental product that has been misrepresented in the media and by our own governor as being "fully approved," in order to keep their jobs and provide for their families.

A second topic of concern to me is in regard to some wording within the rules. In my own experience, I have never heard the word “exception” used when referring to medical or religious requests to be excused from some activity/product/mandate. The word used at all other times in my experience is “exemption.” Before using either of these options, I would like to know the reason for the use of the word “exception” as opposed to the word “exemption.” Please provide the legal definition of each word and an explanation of the difference. In what way does the difference impact my legal standing?

Concerning the assurance that you will hold my personal information securely and kept private, whether in the form of my vaccine documentation or medical/religious exception forms, I would like to know what your response to a request for these documents (or the information on these documents) from government agencies would be. If requested to, will you surrender these documents or personal/private information contained therein to OHA, ODE, TSPC, or any other government agency?

One last concern is regarding the “reasonable response” of the school to those choosing a medical or religious exception. It has been suggested that other districts are creating “reasonable responses” that include enforcement of N95 masks, frequent/regular testing and social distancing upon those excepted according to the rules set forth. Please explain to me how these responses protect my personal and private information. It seems to me that all of them are a clear signal to coworkers, students and parents of the choice made. I hate to make the comparison, but it is quite like the enforcement of a yellow star upon the Jews. Their mistreatment was all put forth in the name of safety as well. In addition, you might recall that masks and testing are EUA products and therefore not lawfully mandated. See my attached documents. Lastly, it is now being shown that those who are vaccinated carry 251X the viral load of those who are not, making the vaccinated more contagious than the unvaccinated and perhaps presenting more of a safety issue. Who then *should* be required to wear an N95 mask (assuming that they truly make a difference)? See the article below.

It is not my desire to create more difficulties for you in this difficult time. Truly, as a peace-loving person, these are extremely challenging times for me. I am simply trying to apply wisdom to my choices. I have underlined the questions I would like a written response to so that they are more easily identified.

Respectfully,

Resource Documents:

OHA 3874 School Vaccine FAQ

https://sharedsystems.dhsoha.state.or.us/DHSForms/Served/le3874.pdf?utm_medium=email&utm_source=govdelivery

Vaccination Requirements to Control COVID-19 for Schools and School-based Programs

https://www.oregon.gov/oha/PH/DISEASESCONDITIONS//REPORTINGCOMMUNICABLEDISEASE/Documents/rules/2021/Vaccination-Schools/PH_39-2021.pdf

Approval Letter - Comirnaty <https://www.fda.gov/media/151710/download>

Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine EUA LOA reissued August 23 2021

<https://www.fda.gov/media/150386/download>

FDA 'playing bait and switch' with Americans, tricking them into believing shots currently being offered have been granted full approval when they have not

<https://leohohmann.com/2021/08/25/fda-playing-bait-and-switch-with-americans-tricking-them-into-believing-shots-currently-being-offered-have-been-granted-full-approval-when-they-have-not/>

Sen. Ron Johnson: 5 Questions for FDA About Pfizer Vaccine Approval

<https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/sen-ron-johnson-questions-fda-pfizer-vaccine-approval/>

Pfizer Vaccine Was Not Approved By The FDA – A Legal And Medical Breakdown

<https://sarahwestall.com/pfizer-vaccine-was-not-approved-by-the-fda-a-legal-and-medical-breakdown/>

FACTS on Your Right to Refuse COVID-19 Vaccination

<https://www.cchfreedom.org/files/files/Right%20to%20Refuse%20Vaccination%20FINAL%20June%2017%202021.pdf>

NOTICE FOR EMPLOYERS, UNIVERSITIES AND OTHER INSTITUTIONS MANDATING

COVID-19 MASKS <https://ca.childrenshealthdefense.org/wp-content/uploads/chd-notice-for-eua-masks-7.14.21.pdf>

Study: Fully Vaccinated Healthcare Workers Carry 251 Times Viral Load, Pose Threat to

Unvaccinated Patients, Co-Workers <https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/vaccinated-healthcare-workers-threat-unvaccinated-patients-co-workers/>

Note that under 21 U.S. Code § 360bbb-3, mandating the use of Experimental Products is

Prohibited. <https://www.law.cornell.duc/uscode/text/21/360bbb-3>.